

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,481	07/18/2003	Jong Lim	66631.8013	4558
79975 King & Spaldi	7590 06/01/201 ing LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 889		YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
Belmont, CA	94002-0889		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/623,481	LIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618		

		MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618	
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED	24 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was application, ap application in a</li> </ol>	filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on opicant must timely file one of the following condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The period no event, he Examiner N	for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A owever, will the statutory period for reply expire to lote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time man have been filed is the counder 37 CFR 1.17(a) set forth in (b) above, in may reduce any earne NOTICE OF APPEA	DF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f) by e obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date late for purposes of determining the period of exit is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st checked. Any reply received by the Office later of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). U.S.  Appeal was filed on	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origi- than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as yen if timely filed,
filing the Notic	e of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter eal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3.  The proposed (a) They rai (b) They rai (c) They are appeal; (d) They pre NOTE:  4.  The amendme 5.  Applicant's re 5.  Newly propos non-allowable 7.  For purposes how the new o	seent additional claims without canceling a ( See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  ents are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 ply has overcome the following rejection(s): ed or amended claim(s) would be all claim(s).  of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ r amended claims would be rejected is prov the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: ed: see:	nsideration and/or search (see NOT) when the form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor owable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) Swill	TE below); ducing or simplifying the ected claims. mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Claim(s) reject Claim(s) withd AFFIDAVIT OR OTH B. The affidavit of because applie	ted: <u>1-18</u> . rawn from consideration:			
9. The affidavit o entered becau showing a good	r other evidence filed after the date of filing use the affidavit or other evidence failed to o and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RE 11.  The re because: See Continue		ered but does NOT place the applic	•	
12. ☐ Note the atta 13. ☐ Other:	ched Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michael G. Hart	lev/	/MICAH-PALII YOUNG	s/	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/MICAH-PAUL YOUNG/

Examiner, Art Unit 1618

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims recite a method of manufacturing a tablet comprising dispersing a drug in a solid matrix, coating the solid matrix with a film coating and coating throat coated matrix with another drug. The first film coating dissolves in GI fluid. Applicant argues that the film coating of the G18 patent does not dissolve in GI fluid. However the film is made from the same compounds are recited in claim 2 and 3, and further comprises water booklee, pore forming agents that dissolve. As the claim does not recite how much of the film dissolves GI fluid, the dissolution of the water soluble portion would meet the limitations of a film that dissolve in GI fluid. The G18 patent provides a method of manufacture that results in a similar dosage form comprising a solid matrix with a drug dispersed throughout, a film coating that does not allow for interaction between the matrix drug and the coating drug. For these reasons the claims remain obvisited.